# CLINICAL INVESTIGATIONS NORMATIVE ECHOCARDIOGRAPHIC VALUES FOR LV SIZE AND FUNCTION AROUND THE WORLD

# Similarities and Differences in Left Ventricular Size and Function among Races and Nationalities: Results of the World Alliance Societies of Echocardiography Normal Values Study



Background: The World Alliance Societies of Echocardiography (WASE) Normal Values Study evaluates individuals from multiple countries and races with the aim of describing normative values that could be applied to the global community worldwide and to determine differences and similarities among people from different countries and races. The present report focuses specifically on two-dimensional (2D) left ventricular (LV) dimensions, volumes, and systolic function.

Methods: The WASE Normal Values Study is a multicenter international, observational, prospective, crosssectional study of healthy adult individuals. Participants recruited in each country were evenly distributed among six predetermined subgroups according to age and gender. Comprehensive 2D transthoracic echocardiograms were acquired and analyzed following strict protocols based on recent American Society of Echocardiography and European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging guidelines. Analysis was performed at the WASE 2D core laboratory and included 2D LV dimensions, LV volumes, and LV ejection fraction (LVEF) by the biplane Simpson method and global longitudinal strain (GLS).

Results: Two thousand eight subjects were enrolled in 15 countries. The median age was 45 years (interquartile range, 32-65 years), 42.8% were white, 41.8% were Asian, and 9.7% were black. LV dimensions and volumes were larger in male subjects, while LVEF and GLS were higher in female subjects. Global

From MedStar Health Research Institute, Washington, District of Columbia (F.M.A., T.M., S.D.); the University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois (K.A., R.M.L.); the University of Salerno, Salerno (R.C.), the University of Padua, Padua (D.M.), Italy; the University of Tokyo, Tokyo (M.D.), the University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Kitakyushu (M.T.), Japan; Hospital Bernardette, Guadalajara, Mexico (P.G.F.); Medanta Medicity, Gurgaon (R.R.K.), Jeyalakshmi Heart Center, Madurai (A.V.), India; the University of Washington, Seattle, Washington (J.N.K.); King's College Hospital, London, United Kingdom (M.J.M.); First Cardiology Consultants Hospital Ikoyi, Lagos, Nigeria (K.O.O.); Samsung Medical Center/Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea (S.W.P.); Instituto Cardiovascular de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina (R.E.R.); Rajaie Cardiovascular Medical and Center, Echocardiography Research Center, IUMS, Tehran, Iran (A.S.); GenesisCare, Brisbane, Australia (G.M.S.): Toronto General Hospital/University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada (W.T.): the Philippine Heart Center, Quezon City, Philippines (E.S.T.): Hospital Israelita Albert Einstein, São Paulo, Brazil (A.C.T.R.); Qilu Hospital of

Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong, China (Y.Z.); and TomTec Imaging Systems, Unterschleissheim, Germany (A.B.).

Check for updates

This work was supported by the American Society of Echocardiography Foundation, MedStar Health Research Institute, and the University of Chicago, with inkind support from TomTec and Intelemage/Medidata. Ms. Blitz is an employee of TomTec Imaging Systems. All authors were involved in the design of the WASE study, patient enrollment, and/or data analysis, and all have critically reviewed and accepted the final manuscript prior to submission. A full list of WASE investigators is provided in the end Appendix.

Reprint requests: Federico M. Asch, MD, FASE, 100 Irving Street, NW, Suite EB 5123, Washington, DC 20010 (E-mail: federico.asch@medstar.net). 0894-7317/\$36.00

Copyright 2019 by the American Society of Echocardiography.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.echo.2019.08.012

WASE normal ranges for LV dimensions were smaller than those in the guidelines, but the upper limits of normal for LV volumes and the lower limits of normal for LVEF were higher in the WASE study. Significant intercountry variation was identified for all LV parameters reflecting LV size (dimensions, mass, and volumes) even after indexing to body surface area, with LV end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes having the highest variation. The largest volumes were noted in Australia, while the smallest were measured in India for both genders. This finding suggests that in addition to gender and body surface area, specific country should be considered when evaluating LV volumes. Intercountry variation for LVEF and GLS was smaller but still statistically significant (P < .05 for all).

*Conclusions:* LV dimensions and volumes are larger in men, while LVEF and GLS are higher in women. Current guideline-recommended normal ranges for LV volumes and LVEF should be adjusted. Intercountry variability is significant for LV volumes, and therefore nationality should be considered for defining ranges of normality. (J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2019;32:1396-406.)

Keywords: Normal values, Echocardiography, WASE, Left ventricle, International, Multiracial

The recent American Society of Echocardiography (ASE) and European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging (EACVI) updated recommendations for chamber quantification define ranges of normative values for the general population using data obtained from welldesigned population-based studies.<sup>1</sup> Although these normative values are used as a reference worldwide, they are derived from data obtained in the United States<sup>2</sup> or specific regions in Europe,<sup>3-7</sup> thereby reflecting a predominantly white population that is not representative of patients from other races or areas of the world. Importantly, recent reports from China,<sup>8</sup> Japan,<sup>9</sup> and Iran<sup>10</sup> suggest that "normal" hearts in those nations are smaller compared with those reported in American and European studies. However, each of these studies followed different methodologies, therefore no direct comparisons with standardized image acquisition and analysis have been performed to date. Accordingly, the World Alliance Societies of Echocardiography (WASE) Normal Values Study was designed with the following aims: (1) to prospectively establish normal echocardiographic values for parameters of chamber size and function across different nationalities, races, and ethnicities worldwide in a multinational study and (2) to describe and characterize similarities and differences among these groups using standardized protocols for image acquisition, modern echocardiographic machines, and centralized readings to ensure uniform, high-quality measurements. The goals of the present report are to establish global and regional normative values (lower limit of normal [LLN] and upper limit of normal [ULN]) for the evaluation of left ventricular (LV) size and function using two-dimensional (2D) echocardiography and strain imaging and to characterize similarities and differences among healthy adults from different nationalities and races.

# **METHODS**

#### **Study Design and Population**

The rationale and design of the WASE Normal Values Study have been described in detail elsewhere.<sup>11</sup> Briefly, WASE is a multicenter international, observational, prospective, cross-sectional study of healthy adult individuals. The ASE invited representatives of member societies of the ASE International Alliance Partners (as of March 2016) to participate in this study by enrolling 100 "normal" local healthy adult volunteers. A "normal" subject was defined as one without a history or clinical evidence of heart, lung, or kidney disease. Detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria are listed in Supplemental Table 1.

Individuals recruited in each country were evenly distributed among six predetermined subgroups according to age (young, 18-40 years; middle-aged, 41–65 years; and elder adults, >65 years) and gender, to allow adequate intercountry comparisons. A single encounter with each patient was required for the collection of basic demographic information and acquisition of a comprehensive transthoracic echocardiogram. Body surface area (BSA) was calculated using the Mosteller formula. For the purpose of the WASE study, the definitions of race and ethnicity were adapted from those proposed for the 2020 US census, the US Food and Drug Administration, and the 2011 UK census.<sup>12-14</sup>

The study was approved by the local ethics committees, and subjects provided consent as mandated by each of the enrolling center's institutional review boards or ethics committees.

# Echocardiographic Image Acquisition and Analysis Protocol

A comprehensive transthoracic echocardiogram was acquired following a study-specific standardized acquisition protocol created by the WASE core laboratories (MedStar Health Research Institute and the University of Chicago) and on the basis of recent ASE recommendations.<sup>1,15</sup> Ultrasound machines used for data acquisition could be from any vendor but had to be able to acquire 2D, Doppler, and three-dimensional images using uniform settings. Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine and raw-format images were transferred to the echocardiography core laboratories for analysis. All 2D and strain data analysis were performed by the core laboratory at MedStar Health Research Institute (Washington, DC) using a vendor-neutral workstation (Image Arena; TomTec, Unterschleissheim, Germany), following ASE/EACVI guidelines. LV and LV wall linear dimensions were measured on 2D images at end-diastole from the parasternal long-axis view, at the tips of the opened mitral valve leaflets and perpendicular to the long axis of the left ventricle. LV mass was calculated with the cube formula. LV volumes and LV ejection fraction (LVEF) were calculated from the apical views, using the biplane Simpson rule. All LV dimensions and volumes were also indexed to BSA. Endocardial LV global longitudinal strain (GLS) was calculated from 2D images using a speckle-tracking technique, from the apical two-, four-, and three-chamber views.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Normal ranges for each echocardiographic parameter were defined as those that would include 95% of the population. Three different approaches were used in generating normal ranges on the basis of normality of data distribution, which was tested using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test: (1) If data distribution was normal, range was calculated as mean  $\pm$  SD  $\times$  1.96. (2) If data distribution was not

#### Abbreviations

2D = Two-dimensional

**ASE** = American Society of Echocardiography

**BSA** = Body surface area

**EACVI** = European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging

**GLS** = Global longitudinal strain

**LLN** = Lower limit of normal

LV = Left ventricular

**LVEDV** = Left ventricular enddiastolic volume

**LVEF** = Left ventricular ejection fraction

**TTE** = Transthoracic Echocardiogram

**ULN** = Upper limit of normal

**WASE** = World Alliance of Societies of Echocardiography normal, log transformation was performed and the data were retested for normality. If logtransformed data were normal, range was obtained using mean  $\pm$  SD  $\times$  1.96 of lognormal variable and transformed back using antilog. (3) If lognormal variable did not show normal distribution, normal range was obtained as the range between the 2.5th percentile and 97.5th percentile. This approach was applied to each subgroup of the sample for which the normal range was calculated. Comparisons between men and women were conducted using two-sample t tests when the normality assumption was satisfied and the nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test when data were not normal. An intercept-only random-effect model was specified to measure variation among countries by specifying intercept as a random effect at the country level. This

model partitions total variance around the mean into variance that occurs among countries and variance that occurs among subjects within countries. The *P* value for testing if variance among countries is equal to zero is provided along with the percentage of variation among countries (by intraclass correlation coefficient). A higher percentage of variation implies more similarity between subject within a country than between countries. GLS was considered negative for the definition of reference values and positive (GLS magnitude or absolute value) in all statistical comparisons. Statistical significance was defined as P < .05. All statistical analysis was performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC)

# RESULTS

From September 2016 to January 2019, 2,262 individuals were enrolled at 19 centers in 15 countries, representing six continents (Supplemental Figure 1). A total of 2,008 subjects formed the final population of the 2D analysis for the WASE study (distribution per enrolling center is presented in Supplemental Table 2), after the exclusion of 254 subjects who either did not meet inclusion or exclusion criteria (age, cardiac disease, age or gender group already fulfilled, etc.) or had incomplete echocardiograms missing critical views. Feasibility of LV data analysis was excellent: the biplane Simpson method for LV volumes and LVEF was suitable in 1,994 subjects (99%), while 2D LV dimensions were obtained in all but one subject; LV GLS, using all three apical views, was obtained in 1,882 subjects (93.7%).

Basic demographic characteristics of the study population, including race and ethnicity, are described in Table 1. The median age was 45 years (interquartile range, 32–65 years; range, 18–98 years), evenly distributed among age and gender and nationality groups, by protocol design. Most individuals were of white or Asian race (42.8% and 41.8%, respectively), while a minority were

#### Table 1 Demographic characteristics

| Variable                     | Value            |
|------------------------------|------------------|
| Age, y                       | 45 (32–65)       |
| Age group, y                 |                  |
| 18–40                        | 854 (42.5)       |
| 41–65                        | 653 (32.5)       |
| >65                          | 501 (25.0)       |
| Sex, male                    | 1,033 (51.4)     |
| Height, cm                   | 167 (160–174)    |
| Weight, kg                   | 67 (58–77)       |
| BSA, m <sup>2</sup>          | 1.76 (1.62–1.92) |
| Heart rate, beats/min        | 68 (60–75)       |
| SBP, mm Hg                   | 120 (110–130)    |
| DBP, mm Hg                   | 74 (69–80)       |
| Race                         |                  |
| White                        | 860 (42.8)       |
| Black                        | 194 (9.7)        |
| Asian                        | 840 (41.8)       |
| Other, mixed + others        | 114 (5.7)        |
| Ethnicity                    |                  |
| White, North America, Europe | 605 (30.1)       |
| White, Middle Eastern        | 162 (8.1)        |
| Black                        | 196 (9.8)        |
| Asian                        | 839 (41.8)       |
| Hispanic                     | 202 (10.1)       |
| Other                        | 4 (0.2)          |

*DBP*, Diastolic blood pressure; *SBP*, systolic blood pressure. Data are expressed as median (interquartile range) or as number (percentage).

black or mixed or other (9.7% and 5.7%, respectively). Mixed-race individuals were almost exclusively a mix of white and Native American, recruited in Mexico. Among the 501 individuals enrolled in the elder group, 36 (7%) had comorbidities that were considered exclusion criteria for the other age groups (19 had medically controlled hypertension, 12 had medically controlled hyperlipidemia, and five had both).

# **Global LV Normal Ranges**

Table 2 describes the nonindexed and indexed normal ranges for LV chamber dimensions and functional parameters by gender on the basis of the global WASE population, together with those proposed by the 2015 ASE/EACVI guidelines.<sup>1</sup> Normal values for LV volumes, defined from the global WASE population, were significantly larger for male than for female subjects, even after indexing to BSA. Although LV internal dimensions at end-systole and end-diastole were larger for men, this difference was reversed after indexing to BSA (larger for women). On the other hand, LVEF and GLS were higher in absolute value for women. All these gender differences were significant (P < .0001).

Compared with guideline recommendations, ranges of normality were slightly wider in the WASE population with regard to LV volumes and dimensions. The ULN for LV dimensions and LV mass index were lower in WASE, but those of LV end-diastolic volume (LVEDV; 165 and 122 mL for male and female subjects) and indexed LVEDV (80 and 70 mL/m<sup>2</sup>, respectively) were higher than those proposed by the

# HIGHLIGHTS

- LV dimensions and volumes are larger in males, while LVEF and GLS are higher in females.
- Current guidelines-recommended normal ranges for LV volumes and EF should be revised.
- Due to inter-country variability, nationality should be considered for defining ranges of normality for LV volumes.
- Dimensions and volumes are similar for people of White and Black race, while smaller for Asians and Mexicans (mixed white/native American race).
- Given national variations within same race, adjustments of normal ranges by nationalities seem more appropriate than by race.

guidelines for both genders. However, with regard to LVEF, ranges were narrower, with the LLN being higher in WASE than those proposed in the guidelines (57% vs 52%, respectively, for men and 58% vs 54% for women). The LLNs for LV GLS in WASE were -17% and -18% for men and women, respectively.

# **Comparison among Countries**

Description of normal ranges according to country for both genders is presented in Table 3. When comparing all countries, significant intercountry variation was identified for all LV parameters reflecting LV size (dimensions, mass, and volumes), with LVEDV and LV endsystolic volume having the highest percentage of variation, even after indexing to BSA. Figure 1 represents a graphic intercountry comparison of the mean indexed LVEDV. The intercountry variations for LVEF and GLS were small but still statistically significant (P < .05for all). In the male subjects, the ULNs for LVEDV indexed to BSA, the best indicator of LV size, were smallest in all Asian countries (ULNs were 76 mL/m<sup>2</sup> or lower in all of them) and in Mexico (ULN = 67 mL/m<sup>2</sup>), while they were >76 mL/m<sup>2</sup> in all other countries, being largest in the United States, Argentina, Italy, and Australia. In the female population, subjects from Mexico, India, the Philippines, Brazil, and Canada had the smallest ULNs for indexed LVEDV, while Argentina, the United States, and Australia had the largest. The LLNs for LVEF in male individuals were between 56% and 58% for all countries except Korea (60%), while in women LLNs were between 58% and 60% for all countries except Australia and Nigeria (55% in both). LLNs for GLS were -17% to -19% in all cases, except for men from Korea (in whom it was -16%).

# Individual Countries Compared with Current Guidelines

Figure 2 represents the mean and ranges of normality (95% of the population) for each country and their comparison with the global ranges of normality proposed by the 2015 ASE/EACVI guidelines and to the overall WASE population. For LVEF, subjects from all countries in WASE fell within the guideline range, and almost no subjects came close to the proposed LLN. Accordingly, the guidelines' ranges appear to be too wide for the global and countryspecific populations. Normal ranges for GLS were similar in all countries, but they could not be properly compared with guidelines (no specific values were provided). On evaluation of indexed LV volumes and dimensions, multiple countries have >10% or 20% of their cases falling outside of the guidelines' ranges (marked with single and double asterisks in the figure, respectively). Importantly, this was observed more frequently for diastolic than systolic measurements. Although most of these cases fall above the ULN for volumes, they fall under the LLN for dimensions and LV mass index.

## **Comparison among Races**

Description of normal ranges according to race and gender are presented in Table 4. After indexing to BSA, individuals of white and black race have larger LV volumes and dimensions than those of Asian race and larger volumes than those of mixed race. However, there are no differences between people of white and black races.

Table 2 Normal ranges for the global WASE population by gender and its comparison with 2015 ASE/EACVI guidelines

|                                  |            | WASE, LLN to ULN |        | Guidelines |           |  |  |
|----------------------------------|------------|------------------|--------|------------|-----------|--|--|
| Variable                         | Male       | Female           | Р      | Male       | Female    |  |  |
| LVIDd, mm                        | 36 to 56   | 33 to 51         | <.0001 | 42 to 58   | 38 to 52  |  |  |
| Indexed LVIDd, mm/m <sup>2</sup> | 19 to 30   | 20 to 31         | <.0001 | 22 to 30   | 23 to 31  |  |  |
| LVIDs, mm                        | 22 to 37   | 21 to 34         | <.0001 | 25 to 40   | 22 to 35  |  |  |
| Indexed LVIDs, mm/m <sup>2</sup> | 12 to 20   | 12 to 21         | <.0001 | 13 to 21   | 13 to 21  |  |  |
| IVSd, mm                         | 6 to 12    | 5 to 10          | <.0001 | 6 to 10    | 6 to 9    |  |  |
| LVPWd, mm                        | 6 to 11    | 5 to 10          | <.0001 | 6 to 10    | 6 to 9    |  |  |
| LV mass, g                       | 74 to 204  | 55 to 148        | <.0001 | 88 to 224  | 67 to 162 |  |  |
| LV mass index, g/m <sup>2</sup>  | 42 to 101  | 36 to 85         | <.0001 | 49 to 115  | 43 to 95  |  |  |
| LVEDV, mL                        | 61 to165   | 47 to 122        | <.0001 | 62 to 150  | 46 to 106 |  |  |
| LVEDVI, mL/m <sup>2</sup>        | 34 to 80   | 31 to 70         | <.0001 | 34 to 74   | 29 to 61  |  |  |
| LVESV, mL                        | 21 to 65   | 17 to 47         | <.0001 | 21 to 61   | 14 to 42  |  |  |
| LVESVI, mL/m <sup>2</sup>        | 12 to 32   | 11 to 28         | <.0001 | 11 to 31   | 8 to 24   |  |  |
| LVEF, %                          | 57 to 68   | 58 to 69         | <.0001 | 52 to 72   | 54 to 74  |  |  |
| LV GLS, %                        | -17 to -24 | -18 to -26       | <.0001 | NA         | NA        |  |  |

*IVSd*, Interventricular septal dimension in diastole; *LVEDVI*, LVEDV index; *LVESV*, LV end-systolic volume; *LVESVI*, LVESV index; *LVIDd*, LV internal dimension at end-diastole; *LVIDs*, LV internal dimension at end-systole; *LVPWd*, LV posterior wall dimension in diastole; *NA*, not applicable.

| Ladie 3 Normai ranges by country and gender |              |                                     |              |                                     |          |              |            |                                    |              |                              |              |                              |          |              |
|---|--------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|----------|--------------|------------|------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|----------|--------------|
| Country                                     | LVIDd,<br>mm | Indexed LVIDd,<br>mm/m <sup>2</sup> | LVIDs,<br>mm | Indexed LVIDs,<br>mm/m <sup>2</sup> | IVSd, mm | LVPWd,<br>mm | LV Mass, g | LV Mass<br>Index, g/m <sup>2</sup> | LVEDV,<br>mL | LVEDVI,<br>mL/m <sup>2</sup> | LVESV,<br>mL | LVESVI,<br>mL/m <sup>2</sup> | LVEF, %  | GLS, %       |
| Male  |              |                                     |              |                                     |          |              |            |                                    |              |                              |              |                              |          |              |
| ARG   | 36 to 57     | 18 to 30                            | 22 to 38     | 11 to 20                            | 5 to 11  | 6 to 11      | 52 to 197  | 28 to 98                           | 49 to 166    | 25 to 84                     | 17 to 65     | 9 to 33                      | 56 to 68 | -18 to -25   |
| AUS   | 38 to 60     | 19 to 29                            | 25 to 39     | 12 to 19                            | 6 to 11  | 6 to 12      | 81 to 236  | 43 to 108                          | 67 to 181    | 38 to 83                     | 25 to 72     | 14 to 33                     | 57 to 66 | -17 to -24   |
| BRA   | 36 to 54     | 17 to 28                            | 22 to 36     | 11 to 19                            | 5 to 11  | 7 to 11      | 66 to 176  | 36 to 88                           | 59 to 153    | 30 to 78                     | 20 to 60     | 11 to 30                     | 57 to 67 | -17 to -25   |
| CAN   | 36 to 59     | 19 to 30                            | 23 to 39     | 12 to 20                            | 5 to 12  | 6 to 11      | 66 to 200  | 37 to 100                          | 64 to 163    | 36 to 80                     | 22 to 64     | 12 to 32                     | 57 to 68 | -17 to -23   |
| CHI   | 37 to 53     | 20 to 30                            | 22 to 35     | 12 to 20                            | 5 to 10  | 6 to 11      | 66 to 164  | 38 to 89                           | 60 to 135    | 35 to 73                     | 20 to 50     | 11 to 28                     | 58 to 69 | -17 to $-25$ |
| IN  | 34 to 52     | 18 to 31                            | 22 to 34     | 12 to 20                            | 5 to 11  | 6 to 11      | 64 to 169  | 40 to 88                           | 47 to 113    | 29 to 62                     | 18 to 46     | 10 to 24                     | 58 to 69 | -17 to -25   |
| IRA   | 37 to 55     | 19 to 29                            | 23 to 37     | 12 to 19                            | 5 to 11  | 6 to 11      | 79 to 188  | 38 to 90                           | 61 to 147    | 32 to 75                     | 20 to 58     | 11 to 30                     | 58 to 67 | -17 to -24   |
| ITA   | 36 to 56     | 19 to 29                            | 23 to 37     | 12 to 19                            | 5 to 12  | 6 to 11      | 64 to 201  | 36 to 101                          | 71 to 167    | 39 to 83                     | 21 to 64     | 13 to 34                     | 57 to 68 | -18 to -24   |
| JAP   | 35 to 54     | 21 to 31                            | 21 to 36     | 12 to 20                            | 6 to 11  | 6 to 11      | 65 to 183  | 40 to 101                          | 66 to 144    | 36 to 76                     | 22 to 58     | 13 to 32                     | 56 to 69 | -17 to $-24$ |
| KOR   | 37 to 56     | 20 to 29                            | 24 to 36     | 13 to 19                            | 6 to 12  | 7 to 12      | 95 to 212  | 53 to 109                          | 58 to 142    | 35 to 72                     | 19 to 54     | 12 to 27                     | 60 to 69 | -16 to -23   |
| MEX   | 36 to 55     | 19 to 30                            | 23 to 36     | 13 to 19                            | 5 to 10  | 6 to 10      | 73 to 170  | 37 to 85                           | 60 to 130    | 35 to 67                     | 20 to 51     | 12 to 26                     | 58 to 67 | -19 to -24   |
| NIG   | 31 to 52     | 19 to 28                            | 21 to 37     | 12 to 19                            | 6 to 11  | 6 to 12      | 71 to 203  | 42 to 95                           | 48 to 166    | 32 to 80                     | 14 to 66     | 10 to 32                     | 56 to 69 | -17 to -24   |
| PHI   | 39 to 54     | 20 to 31                            | 23 to 36     | 13 to 20                            | 6 to 11  | 6 to 12      | 76 to 189  | 48 to 100                          | 63 to 140    | 33 to 70                     | 20 to 56     | 11 to 28                     | 57 to 68 | -17 to -23   |
| UK  | 40 to 59     | 20 to 29                            | 24 to 39     | 12 to 19                            | 5 to 11  | 6 to 11      | 81 to 205  | 42 to 100                          | 61 to 161    | 33 to 77                     | 24 to 68     | 11 to 30                     | 56 to 69 | -17 to -25   |
| USA   | 36 to 55     | 18 to 30                            | 23 to 38     | 11 to 20                            | 5 to 11  | 7 to 11      | 80 to 198  | 44 to 93                           | 70 to 175    | 37 to 84                     | 25 to 66     | 13 to 32                     | 58 to 68 | -17 to -24   |
| % of variation                              | 9.41         | 5.52                                | 8.33         | 3.17                                | 3.97     | 6.16         | 10.73      | 8.86                               | 18.83        | 10.52                        | 17.7         | 9.94                         | 3.28     | 6.04         |
| Р   | .011         | .017                                | .011         | .035                                | .033     | .017         | .009       | .012                               | .006         | .008                         | .006         | .009                         | .038     | .020         |
| Female                                      |              |                                     |              |                                     |          |              |            |                                    |              |                              |              |                              |          |              |
| ARG   | 33 to 53     | 20 to 31                            | 20 to 35     | 12 to 21                            | 4 to 11  | 5 to 10      | 44 to 162  | 28 to 93                           | 42 to 133    | 24 to 80                     | 18 to 53     | 11 to 32                     | 58 to 68 | -17 to -26   |
| AUS   | 36 to 54     | 20 to 31                            | 23 to 36     | 13 to 21                            | 5 to 10  | 6 to 10      | 65 to 149  | 37 to 85                           | 65 to 134    | 39 to 73                     | 22 to 54     | 13 to 30                     | 55 to 68 | -17 to -25   |
| BRA   | 33 to 50     | 20 to 30                            | 22 to 32     | 13 to 19                            | 5 to 10  | 5 to 10      | 51 to 138  | 32 to 77                           | 50 to 117    | 31 to 65                     | 17 to 44     | 11 to 25                     | 59 to 68 | -18 to -26   |
| CAN   | 35 to 52     | 21 to 31                            | 22 to 35     | 13 to 20                            | 5 to 10  | 5 to 10      | 56 to 131  | 39 to 76                           | 51 to 118    | 34 to 65                     | 17 to 45     | 11 to 25                     | 59 to 72 | -17 to -25   |
| CHI   | 32 to 49     | 19 to 32                            | 20 to 32     | 12 to 21                            | 4 to 10  | 5 to 10      | 48 to 139  | 28 to 78                           | 44 to 103    | 28 to 66                     | 14 to 38     | 9 to 24                      | 59 to 70 | -18 to -25   |
| IN  | 32 to 49     | 20 to 32                            | 20 to 32     | 12 to 21                            | 5 to 10  | 5 to 10      | 48 to 125  | 37 to 78                           | 40 to 91     | 26 to 58                     | 14 to 35     | 9 to 22                      | 58 to 68 | -18 to -26   |
| IRA   | 35 to 50     | 20 to 30                            | 22 to 33     | 12 to 20                            | 4 to 10  | 5 to 10      | 56 to 140  | 34 to 81                           | 51 to 115    | 32 to 67                     | 19 to 47     | 10 to 26                     | 59 to 68 | -19 to -26   |
| ITA   | 33 to 50     | 20 to 31                            | 21 to 33     | 12 to 21                            | 4 to 11  | 5 to 10      | 47 to 134  | 31 to 79                           | 47 to 113    | 30 to 67                     | 16 to 42     | 10 to 25                     | 59 to 70 | -18 to -27   |
| JAP   | 33 to 49     | 22 to 32                            | 21 to 31     | 14 to 20                            | 4 to 10  | 5 to 10      | 51 to 142  | 31 to 85                           | 49 to 105    | 34 to 67                     | 17 to 38     | 12 to 24                     | 59 to 69 | -18 to -27   |
| KOR   | 34 to 51     | 23 to 32                            | 21 to 34     | 14 to 21                            | 4 to 11  | 6 to 11      | 60 to 164  | 41 to 100                          | 47 to 110    | 32 to 69                     | 15 to 40     | 11 to 25                     | 60 to 69 | -17 to -26   |
| MEX   | 32 to 50     | 20 to 31                            | 20 to 32     | 13 to 20                            | 5 to 9   | 5 to 9       | 52 to 110  | 34 to 67                           | 38 to 99     | 26 to 60                     | 13 to 36     | 9 to 22                      | 60 to 68 | -19 to -26   |
| NIG   | 34 to 51     | 19 to 31                            | 20 to 35     | 11 to 21                            | 4 to 10  | 5 to 10      | 52 to 129  | 32 to 75                           | 52 to 121    | 31 to 71                     | 19 to 52     | 11 to 31                     | 55 to 70 | -18 to -26   |
| PHI   | 34 to 49     | 20 to 32                            | 21 to 33     | 13 to 21                            | 5 to 12  | 5 to 11      | 60 to 161  | 39 to 99                           | 47 to 105    | 30 to 65                     | 15 to 40     | 9 to 25                      | 59 to 70 | -17 to -24   |
| UK  | 33 to 53     | 20 to 32                            | 21 to 35     | 12 to 21                            | 4 to 10  | 5 to 10      | 56 to 141  | 38 to 75                           | 50 to 126    | 30 to 72                     | 18 to 47     | 11 to 27                     | 58 to 68 | -18 to -26   |
| USA   | 35 to 52     | 19 to 30                            | 21 to 35     | 11 to 20                            | 5 to 10  | 6 to 10      | 57 to 146  | 35 to 78                           | 52 to 132    | 29 to 75                     | 17 to 50     | 9 to 28                      | 58 to 69 | -18 to -26   |
| % of variation                              | 7.72         | 6.41                                | 8.3          | 3.38                                | 4.57     | 3.2          | 9.12       | 8.06                               | 20.62        | 10.76                        | 20.38        | 10.91                        | 6.78     | 4.78         |
| Р   | .014         | .015                                | .013         | .033                                | .029     | .044         | .012       | .015                               | .006         | .010                         | .007         | .010                         | .017     | .026         |

ARG, Argentina; AUS, Australia; BRA, Brazil; CAN, Canada; CHI, China; IN, India; IRA, Iran; ITA, Italy; IVSd, interventricular septal dimension in diastole; JAP, Japan; KOR, South Korea; LVEDVI, LVEDV index; LVESV, LV end-systolic volume; LVESVI, LV end-systolic volume index; LVIDd, LV internal dimension at end-diastole; LVIDs, LV internal dimension at endsystole; LVPWd, LV posterior wall dimension in diastole; MEX, Mexico; NIG, Nigeria; PHI, Philippines; UK, United Kingdom; USA, United States of America. Comparison of all countries for each parameter is described as percentage of variation, and its statistical significance expressed as a P value.

- . . . . . . .



Figure 1 Intercountry comparisons of mean indexed LVEDV, divided by gender: (A) male and (B) female. *Arrows* represent statistically significant differences (*P* < .05), and the direction of the *arrows* represents larger to smaller mean indexed LVEDV. The sizes of the *circles* are proportional to the mean indexed LVEDV per country. *ARG*, Argentina; *AUS*, Australia; *BRA*, Brazil; *CAN*, Canada; *CHI*, China; *IN*, India; *IRA*, Iran; *ITA*, Italy; *JAP*, Japan; *KOR*, South Korea; *MEX*, Mexico; *NIG*, Nigeria; *PHI*, Philippines; *UK*, United Kingdom; *USA*, United States.

Normal ranges by race in WASE compared with those proposed in the guidelines are presented in Figure 3. Importantly, there is intercountry variation within each race (e.g., between Indians and Chinese, Australians and Italians).

#### DISCUSSION

The WASE Normal Values Study is unique in that it provides normal reference values of echocardiographic parameters in adults across a wide range of ages, races, ethnicities, and countries. In contrast to previous studies, this study included head-to-head comparisons, in which all technical differences in data acquisition between regions were minimized and standardized by using state-of-the-art equipment and strictly following ASE/EACVI recommendations. In addition, data analysis and interpretation were conducted uniformly by a core laboratory. The main findings of this study are as follows: (1) universal normal values for parameters of LV size and function, including GLS, were established and showed small differences among races and countries, with the exception of LV volumes; (2) these normal ranges differ from those published in the 2015 ASE/ EACVI guidelines, suggesting that adjustments are needed, including country-specific LV volume data; (3) the normal range of LVEF is narrower, indicating that the lower abnormality cutoff should be adjusted upward for both genders and that the lower normality cutoff of the indexed dimensions and mass should be adjusted downward for both genders; and (4) our findings confirmed the previously reported small gender differences in LV function indices (higher LVEF and GLS in women).

#### **Rationale for the WASE Study**

The ASE/EACVI chamber quantification recommendations published in 2015 made significant progress relative to the previous 2005 version by including normal values and ranges for a variety of 2D chamber measurements.<sup>1,16</sup> These reference values have been obtained by meta-analysis from population-based studies,<sup>2,4,7</sup> which have several limitations. First, the majority of subjects were white, while few individuals of black race, Latinos, or Asians were included, because all studies were conducted in the United States or Europe. Second, the overall population of these studies predominantly reflected middle-aged adults, with limited representation of healthy elderly populations. Third, each study followed its own unique protocol, resulting in heterogeneous image acquisition and reported measurements; consequently, the normal values of each parameter were derived from different data sets. Even the largest, most recent meta-analysis conducted to provide global normal reference values,<sup>17,18</sup> representing a total of 22,404 subjects, has many of the same limitations. Nevertheless, this study suggested that differences may exist in LV size among ethnic groups, creating the need for additional studies aimed at answering this question.

In an attempt to standardize image acquisition and interpretation, the Normal Reference Ranges for Echocardiography study was performed as the first large multicenter European effort involving EACVI-accredited echocardiography laboratories, in which image acquisition followed the European guidelines and interpretation was performed in a centralized core laboratory.<sup>3</sup> Nevertheless, the main limitation of that study was that it still predominantly represented a white European population. Although studies from countries such as Brazil, Iran, Japan, China, Nepal, and individual European countries have been reported, <sup>2,4,7-10,19,20</sup> each of these studies used different imaging protocols and reported normal values of different parameters. Given these methodologic heterogeneities in individual studies, it is impossible to determine with certainty whether the findings reported in these populations are due to technical reasons or represent real differences in cardiac size and function. Accordingly, the need for reliable normative data in different populations led the ASE and its alliance partners to conduct the WASE study for a direct comparison of cardiac size and function from multiple regions in the world using standardized protocols that strictly follow guideline recommendations and a core laboratory.<sup>21,22</sup>

#### Linear LV Dimensions and Mass Measurements

We found that race- and country-related differences in average BSAindexed LV linear dimensions were small in both systole and diastole, in both genders (within 2 mm/m<sup>2</sup>). Interestingly, compared with the guidelines, average LV dimensions were smaller across genders, races,



**Figure 2** Normal ranges by country, compared with overall WASE and guideline-proposed ranges. Mean and ranges of normality (95% of the population) for each country and their relationship to the global ranges of normality proposed by the 2015 ASE/EACVI guidelines (ULN and LLN are presented as *horizontal blue lines*) and to the overall WASE population (*shaded horizontal bars*). In each plot, countries are organized by predominant race (from *left* to *right*, white, black, Asian, and mixed). *Single asterisks* represent groups in which 10% to 20% of the cases fall outside of the guideline-proposed normal range; *double asterisks* represent groups in which >20% of the cases fall outside of the guideline-proposed normal range. *LVEDVI*, LVEDV index; *LVESVI*, LV end-systolic volume index, *LVIDd I*, LV internal dimension at end-diastole, indexed; *LVIDs I*, LV internal dimension at end-systole, indexed (mm/m<sup>2</sup>); *LV mass I*, LV mass index.

| Race   | Indexed LVIDd,<br>mm/m- | Indexed LVIDs,<br>mm/m <sup>2</sup> | IVSd, mm             | LVPWd,<br>mm/m <sup>2</sup> | LV Mass<br>Index, g/m <sup>2</sup> | LVEDVI,<br>mL/m <sup>2</sup> | LVESVI,<br>mL/m <sup>2</sup> | LVEF, %   | GLS, %     |  |  |
|--------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|------------|--|--|
| Male   |                         |                                     |                      |                             |                                    |                              |                              |           |            |  |  |
| White  | 19 to 29*               | 12 to 19*                           | 6 to 12              | 6 to 11 <sup>†</sup>        | 41 to 102                          | 33 to 81* <sup>,†</sup>      | 11 to 32* <sup>,†</sup>      | 57 to 68* | -17 to -24 |  |  |
| Black  | 18 to 29*               | 11 to 19*                           | 6 to 11              | 7 to 12* <sup>,†</sup>      | 45 to 96                           | 33 to 81* <sup>,†</sup>      | 13 to 33*                    | 57 to 69  | -17 to -25 |  |  |
| Asian  | 20 to 30                | 13 to 20                            | 6 to 12              | 6 to 11                     | 43 to 102                          | 31 to 72                     | 12 to 29                     | 58 to 69  | -17 to -25 |  |  |
| Other  | 19 to 30                | 13 to 19                            | 5 to 11              | 6 to 10*                    | 37 to 86*                          | 34 to 68                     | 12 to 27                     | 58 to 67  | -18 to -24 |  |  |
| Female |                         |                                     |                      |                             |                                    |                              |                              |           |            |  |  |
| White  | 20 to 31*               | 12 to 20*                           | 5 to 11 <sup>†</sup> | 6 to 10 <sup>†</sup>        | 36 to 85 <sup>†</sup>              | 32 to 76* <sup>,†</sup>      | 11 to 29* <sup>,†</sup>      | 58 to 69* | -18 to -26 |  |  |
| Black  | 19 to 30*               | 11 to 21*                           | 4 to 10              | 6 to 10 <sup>†</sup>        | 33 to 76                           | 32 to 69* <sup>,†</sup>      | 12 to 29* <sup>,†</sup>      | 56 to 68* | -18 to -26 |  |  |
| Asian  | 21 to 31                | 13 to 21                            | 5 to 11              | 5 to 11                     | 36 to 89                           | 29 to 66                     | 10 to 24                     | 59 to 69  | -18 to -26 |  |  |
| Other  | 20 to 31                | 13 to 20                            | 5 to 9               | 5 to 9*                     | 35 to 67*                          | 26 to 62*                    | 9 to 23                      | 60 to 68  | -19 to -25 |  |  |

# Table 4 Normal ranges by race and gender

IVSd, Interventricular septum dimension in diastole; LVEDVI, LVEDV index; LVESVI, LV end-systolic volume index; LVIDd, LV internal dimension at end-diastole; LVIDs, LV internal dimension at end-systole; LVPWd, LV posterior wall dimension in diastole.

The comparison between black and white was significantly different only for LVPWd in men.

\*Significant difference (P < .05) between Asian and white, black, or other (mixed) races.

<sup>†</sup>Significant difference (P < .05) between other races (mixed) and white, black, or Asian.

and countries. Although the upper normal limits were similar in our study and in the guidelines, our lower limits are consistently lower than previously reported. As a result, in all countries,  $\geq 10\%$  of the study subjects, and in most countries >20%, fell below the guidelines recommended ranges. This finding was more pronounced for enddiastolic compared with end-systolic dimensions. A possible explanation for these findings is that the guideline-recommended normal values of linear dimensions were obtained from a mix of parasternal short- and long-axis views, as well as M-mode images, in which oblique cross-sections might have resulted in overestimated dimensions. In contrast, in our study, all linear dimensions were obtained from 2D parasternal long-axis views, in which particular care was taken to identify the dimension perpendicular to the long axis of the ventricle at the tip of the mitral valve leaflets. Such differences between WASE results and the guidelines propagated into LV mass measurements, which resulted in lower normal ranges. As a result, for both genders and most races and countries,  $\geq 10\%$  of the study subjects fell below the guideline-recommended ranges of LV mass. Despite the small differences, adjusting the reference ranges for LV dimensions and mass in the guidelines seems to be needed.

#### **Two-Dimensional Volume Measurements**

Interestingly, the race- and country-related differences in LV volumes were larger in both systole and diastole, especially for the upper limits of normal, with the country-related variability in volumes being as high as 20% and in indexed volumes >10% in both genders. This is potentially clinically important for the detection of ventricular enlargement in different countries, because these differences are not negligible. The largest volumes were noted in Australia, while the smallest volumes were measured in India for both genders. For example, the ULN LVEDV for women in India is 91 mL, whereas in Australia it is considerably higher at 134 mL. This finding suggests that in addition to gender and BSA, the specific country should be considered when evaluating normality of LV volumes.

However, unlike the linear dimensions, average LV volumes were larger across genders, races, and countries compared with the guidelines. Although the lower normal limits are similar between our results and the guidelines, the upper limits are consistently higher. As a result, in most countries  $\geq 10\%$  of the study subjects, and  $\geq 20\%$  in some countries, fell above the guideline-recommended ranges. This finding was more pronounced in women than men. These differences in normal values of LV volumes between our results and the guidelines can probably be explained by a few technical issues enforced in our study: (1) special emphasis was placed on avoiding foreshortening when acquiring apical views, and (2) the core laboratory traced the endocardial boundaries at the interface between the trabeculae and the compacted myocardium, in order to better reproduce cardiac magnetic resonance volume measurements, as previously suggested.<sup>1,23</sup> This is as opposed to the earlier studies, in which a number of apical views were foreshortened and endocardial boundaries were likely traced along the blood-trabeculae interface, resulting in smaller volume measurements. These differences were less pronounced at end-systole, when the trabeculae are compressed and the interface between the compacted and noncompacted myocardium is more difficult to identify. Given the significant differences, current guidelines should be revised to better describe ULNs for LV volumes and to consider country-specific ranges.

#### **Ventricular Function**

In both genders, average LVEF values were similar among races and countries, with small variations of the order of magnitude of 1% to 2%. Similar to the guidelines, normal LVEF values were slightly higher in women than in men. Of note, however, the entire normal range of LVEF was considerably narrower in both genders, across races and countries. This is likely a result of the uniformity in data acquisition and interpretation methodology, as well as the larger sample size. These results suggest that the LLN of LVEF should be adjusted upward from the previously recommended cutoff values.

Although the guidelines did not provide normal ranges for LV GLS, because of insufficient data, but only suggested a consensus-based abnormality cutoff value of -20%, the present study provides normal ranges across genders, races, and countries. Interestingly, the lower normal limits for GLS are considerably lower and stand at -17% for men and -18% for women. These data, however, should be interpreted with caution, as strain analysis to date is vendor dependent.



**Figure 3** Normal ranges by race, compared with overall WASE and guideline-proposed ranges. Mean and ranges of normality (95% of the population) for each race and their relationship to the global ranges of normality proposed by the 2015 ASE/EACVI guidelines (ULN and LLN are presented as *horizontal blue lines*) and to the overall WASE population (*shaded horizontal bars*). Mixed race is almost exclusively Mexicans, mixed between white and Native American. *Single asterisks* represent groups in which 10% to 20% of the cases fall outside of the guideline-proposed normal range; *double asterisks* represent groups in which >20% of the cases fall outside of the guideline-proposed normal range. *LVEDVI*, LVEDV index; *LVESVI*, LV end-systolic volume index, *LVIDd I*, LV internal dimension at end-diastole, indexed; *LVIDs I*, LV internal dimension at end-systole, indexed (mm/m<sup>2</sup>); *LV mass I*, LV mass index.

#### **Differences among Races**

With regard to race, there were no significant differences between white and black people, while they both were larger (volumes and dimensions) than Asians and Mexicans (mixed). However, considering that there are intercountry differences within Asians and whites, it seems more reasonable to consider nationalities rather than race when determining LV normality or enlargement for a given individual.

# Limitations

In the WASE study an attempt was made to be inclusive in order to represent multiple regions around the world, but certain areas remain underrepresented. Arguably, the number of individuals enrolled in each country could have been larger, an issue particularly relevant to address ethnic diversity within a country. However, in planning the sample size, we had to find the proper balance between inclusivity of the global international echocardiographic community and feasibility with the available resources. On the basis of the findings of this study, intercountry differences in LV volumes should spark additional, larger regional studies to establish even more statistically sound specific normality cutoffs or ranges for countries not included in the study (although countries not included may be better represented by individual WASE countries than by the current guidelines). In this context, a limitation of our study is that US census racial definitions were used, ignoring intraracial phenotypic differences. Also, in our study, the "mixed/other" race sample consisted almost exclusively of Mexican subjects, and thus our normal values for this racial category cannot be extrapolated to other mixed-race populations. In addition, multiple regional variations could be hypothesized to explain the described differences: climate, latitude, season of echocardiographic acquisition, dietary differences, and level of education, to mention just a few, none of which could be directly addressed in this study. Furthermore, in view of the widely reported intervendor variability in GLS measurements, our findings should be interpreted considering that images were acquired using multivendor equipment and analyzed using vendor-independent software. Accordingly, although our data are strong in detecting similarities or differences among subgroups, the hereby reported normal values may not be directly transferrable to measurements made using vendor-specific software. Finally, it is important to note that although higher volumes would be expected with the use of ultrasound-enhancing (contrast) agents, their use was not allowed in this study, so the normal range for the contrast-enhanced left ventricle cannot be answered with the WASE data.

# CONCLUSION

The WASE Normal Values Study provides a unique and important set of normal reference values for LV size and functional parameters across genders, races, and countries, obtained using standardized methodology. We found small differences in normal values in most parameters among subjects of different races and in different countries, which achieved statistical significance but are unlikely to be clinically relevant. This suggests that the hereby reported normal values are nearly universal, with the exception of LV volumes, assessment of which needs to take into consideration race and country in addition to gender and body size. Importantly, these new normal values differ significantly from the ASE/EACVI guidelines, suggesting that the lower abnormality cutoff of LVEF should be adjusted upward and those of the indexed dimensions and mass should be adjusted downward for both genders. Also, the newly established normal ranges of GLS indicate different cutoff values than suggested by the guidelines. Although the normal values obtained in this study add confidence in defining abnormalities in LV size and function, the gender-, race-, and country-specific data may aid in the characterization of diverse populations around the world and their similarities and differences.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Rhonda Price for her continuous strategic support and expertise in getting the WASE international community together and keeping us all running, and Deyu Sun, MS, for help in the preparation of the figures.

## SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.echo.2019.08.012.

# REFERENCES

- Lang RM, Badano LP, Mor-Avi V, Afilalo J, Armstrong A, Ernande L, et al. Recommendations for cardiac chamber quantification by echocardiography in adults: an update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2015;28:1-39.e14.
- Friedman GD, Cutter GR, Donahue RP, Hughes GH, Hulley SB, Jacobs DR, et al. CARDIA: study design, recruitment, and some characteristics of the examined subjects. J Clin Epidemiol 1988;41:1105-16.
- Kou S, Caballero L, Dulgheru R, Voilliot D, De Sousa C, Kacharava G, et al. Echocardiographic reference ranges for normal cardiac chamber size: results from the NORRE study. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2014;15: 680-90.
- Kuznetsova T, Herbots L, López B, Jin Y, Richart T, Thijs L, et al. Prevalence of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in a general population. Circ Heart Fail 2009;2:105-12.
- Lancellotti P, Badano LP, Lang RM, Akhaladze N, Athanassopoulos GD, Barone D, et al. Normal Reference Ranges for Echocardiography: rationale, study design, and methodology (NORRE study). Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2013;14:303-8.
- Muraru D, Badano LP, Peluso D, Dal Bianco L, Casablanca S, Kocabay G, et al. Comprehensive analysis of left ventricular geometry and function by three-dimensional echocardiography in healthy adults. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2013;26:618-28.
- Rietzschel ER, De Buyzere ML, Bekaert S, Segers P, De Bacquer D, Cooman L, et al. Rationale, design, methods and baseline characteristics of the Asklepios Study. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil 2007;14: 179-91.
- Yao GH, Deng Y, Liu Y, Xu MJ, Zhang C, Deng YB, et al. Echocardiographic measurements in normal Chinese adults focusing on cardiac chambers and great arteries: a prospective, nationwide, and multicenter study. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2015;28:570-9.
- Daimon M, Watanabe H, Abe Y, Hirata K, Hozumi T, Ishii K, et al. Normal values of echocardiographic parameters in relation to age in a healthy Japanese population: the JAMP study. Circ J 2008;72:1859-66.

- Sadeghpour A, Shahrabi M, Bakhshandeh H, Naderi N. Normal echocardiographic values of 368 Iranian healthy subjects. Arch Cardiovasc Image 2013;1:72-9.
- Asch FM, Banchs J, Price R, Rigolin V, Thomas JD, Weissman NJ, et al. Need for a global definition of normative echo values–rationale and design of the World Alliance of Societies of Echocardiography Normal Values Study (WASE). J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2019;32:157-62.e2.
- Cohn D. Census considers new approach to asking about race. Available at: https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2015/06/18/census-conside rs-new-approach-to-asking-about-race-by-not-using-the-term-at-all/. Accessed August 23, 2019.
- US Food and Drug Administration. Collection of race and ethnicity data in clinical trials. Available at: https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/ search-fda-guidance-documents/collection-race-and-ethnicity-data-clinicaltrials. Accessed August 23, 2019.
- 14. Mathur R, Bhaskaran K, Chaturvedi N, Leon DA, vanStaa T, Grundy E, et al. Completeness and usability of ethnicity data in UK-based primary care and hospital databases. J Public Health (Oxf) 2014;36:684-92.
- Mitchell C, Rahko PS, Blauwet LA, Canaday B, Finstuen JA, Foster MC, et al. Guidelines for performing a comprehensive transthoracic echocardiographic examination in adults: recommendations from the American Society of Echocardiography. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2019;32:1-64.
- 16. Lang RM, Bierig M, Devereux RB, Flachskampf FA, Foster E, Pellikka PA, et al. Recommendations for chamber quantification: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography's Guidelines and Standards Committee and the Chamber Quantification Writing Group, developed in conjunction with the European Association of Echocardiography, a branch

of the European Society of Cardiology. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2005;18: 1440-63.

- 17. Echocardiographic Normal Ranges Meta-Analysis of the Left Heart (Echo-NoRMAL) Collaboration. A meta-analysis of echocardiographic measurements of the left heart for the development of normative reference ranges in a large international cohort: the EchoNoRMAL study. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2014;15:341-8.
- Echocardiographic Normal Ranges Meta-Analysis of the Left Heart (EchoNoRMAL) Collaboration. Ethnic-specific normative reference values for echocardiographic LA and LV size, LV mass, and systolic function: the EchoNoRMAL study. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2015; 8:656-65.
- Angelo LC, Vieira ML, Rodrigues SL, Morelato RL, Pereira AC, Mill JG, et al. Echocardiographic reference values in a sample of asymptomatic adult Brazilian population. Arq Bras Cardiol 2007;89:168-73, 184.
- Prajapati D, Sharma D, Baidya SG, Shakya U, Shrestha N. Normal echocardiographic parameters of healthy adult individuals working in national heart centre. Nepal Heart J 2012;9:1-6.
- Cosyns B, Lancellotti P. Normal reference values for echocardiography: a call for comparison between ethnicities. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2016;17:523-4.
- 22. Lancellotti P. Normal reference ranges for echocardiography: do we really need more. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2014;15:253-4.
- 23. Mor-Avi V, Jenkins C, Kühl HP, Nesser HJ, Marwick T, Franke A, et al. Real-time 3-dimensional echocardiographic quantification of left ventricular volumes: multicenter study for validation with magnetic resonance imaging and investigation of sources of error. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2008;1:413-23.

You can track the impact of your article with citation alerts that let you know when your article (or any article you'd like to track) has been cited by another Elsevier-published journal.

# Visit onlinejase.com today!

Did you know?

i 💟 🖬 🔛 🛙

Descargado para Anonymous User (n/a) en HS EMEA/LA Infomed de ClinicalKey.es por Elsevier en noviembre 11, 2019. Para uso personal exclusivamente. No se permiten otros usos sin autorización. Copyright ©2019. Elsevier Inc. Todos los derechos reservados.

# APPENDIX

# REGIONAL PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS AND THEIR RESPECTIVE ENROLLING INSTITUTION

Ricardo Ronderos, MD, FASE, Instituto Cardiovascular de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina. Gregory Scalia, MD, FASE, GenesisCare, Brisbane, Australia. Ana Clara Tude Rodrigues, MD, Hospital Israelita Albert Einstein, São Paulo, Brazil. Wendy Tsang, MD, Toronto General Hospital, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada. Mei Zhang, PhD, Qilu Hospital of Shandong University, Jinan, China. V. Amuthan, MD, DM, Jeyalakshmi Heart Center, Madurai, India. Ravi Kasliwal, MD, FASE, Medanta Heart Institute, Medanta, India. Anita Sadeghpour, MD, FASE, Rajaie Cardiovascular Medical and Research Center, Tehran, Iran. Eduardo Bossone MD, FASE, University of Salerno, Salerno, Italy. Denisa Muraru, MD, University of Padua, Padua, Italy. Masao Daimon, MD, PhD, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan. Masaaki Takeuchi, MD, PhD, FASE, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Kitakyushu, Japan. Pedro Gutierrez-Fajardo, MD, FASE, Hospital Bernardette, Guadalajara, Mexico. Kofo O. Ogunvankin, MD, FASE, First Cardiology Consultants Hospital Ikoyi, Lagos, Nigeria. Edwin S. Tucay, MD, FASE, Philippine Heart Center, Quezon City, Philippines. Seung Woo Park, MD, Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, Korea. Mark J. Monaghan, PhD, King's College Hospital, United Kingdom. Karima Addetia, MD, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL. James Kirkpatrick, MD, FASE, University of Washington, Seattle, WA.

#### ADDITIONAL WASE INVESTIGATORS

Argentina: Aldo D. Prado, Centro Privado de Cardiologia, Tucumán, Argentina; Eduardo Filipini, Instituto Cardiovascular de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

Australia: Agatha Kwon and Samantha Hoschke-Edwards, GenesisCare, Brisbane, Australia.

Brazil: Tania Regina Afonso, Hospital Israelita Albert Einstein, São Paulo, Brazil.

Canada: Babitha Thampinathan and Maala Sooriyakanthan, Toronto General Hospital, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

China: Tiangang Zhu and Zhilong Wang, Peking University People's Hospital, Beijing, China; Yingbin Wang, Mei Zhang, and Yu Zhang, Qilu Hospital of Shandong University, Jinan, China; Lixue Yin and Shuang Li, Sichuan Provincial People's Hospital, Sichuan, China.

India: R. Alagesan, Madras Medical College, Chennai, India; S. Balasubramanian, Madurai Medical College, Madurai, India; R.V.A. Ananth, Jeyalakshmi Heart Center, Madurai, India; Manish Bansal, Medanta Heart Institute, Medanta, Haryana, India.

Italy: Luigi P. Badano (currently at the University of Milano-Bicocca and Istituto Auxologico Italiano, IRCCS, Milano, Italy) and Chiara Palermo, University of Padua, Padua, Italy; Eduardo Bossone (currently at Antonio Cardarelli Hospital, Naples, Italy), Davide Di Vece, and Michele Bellino, University of Salerno, Salerno, Italy.

Japan: Tomoko Nakao, Takayuki Kawata, Megumi Hirokawa, and Naoko Sawada, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan; Yousuke Nabeshima, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Kitakyushu, Japan.

Korea: Hye Rim Yun and Ji-won Hwang, Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, Korea.

Nigeria: Dolapo Fasawe, First Cardiology Consultants Hospital Ikoyi, Lagos, Nigeria.

TomTec Imaging Systems: Marcus Schreckenberg.



Supplemental Figure 1 WASE world map depicting countries that completed enrollment in the WASE Normal Values Study.

| Supplemental Table 1<br>criteria | WASE inclusion and exclusion |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Inclusion criteria               |                              |
| $\geq$ 18 years of age           |                              |
| KING AND THE REPORT OF           |                              |

| No previous lung disease   |
|----------------------------|
| No previous kidney disease |

No history of (or receiving drugs for)

Hypertension\*

Dyslipidemia\*

Diabetes

Blood pressure < 140/90 mm Hg Body mass index 20–30 kg/m<sup>2†</sup>

Mild or less valvular disease

Exclusion criteria

Pregnant women

Competitive athletes

History of alcoholism

Significant renal insufficiency (blood creatinine > 2 mg/dL or 177  $\mu$ mol/L)

\*In June 2017, a protocol amendment was approved for allowing, only in the groups >65 years of age, a history of hypertension or hyperlipidemia as long as blood pressure and hyperlipidemia were well controlled with not more than two medications and there was no evidence of LV hypertrophy on echocardiography. This was decided on the basis of difficulty identifying elderly patients with no history of hypertension and hyperlipidemia. By the time of study completion, only 36 subjects were enrolled under these conditions.

<sup>†</sup>A body mass index of 18 to 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> was allowed in countries with smaller body habitus, as perceived to be normal by the regional principal investigator (Japan).

| Supplemental Table 2 Enrolling centers by country and |
|---|
| number of enrolled subjects in WASE study             |

| Country (Center)        | n   |
|-------------------------|-----|
| Australia               | 101 |
| China                   | 131 |
| Japan east (Tokyo)      | 141 |
| Japan west (Kitakyushu) | 89  |
| Korea                   | 102 |
| Philippines             | 100 |
| India south (Madurai)   | 126 |
| India north (Medanta)   | 101 |
| Iran                    | 159 |
| Argentina               | 101 |
| Brazil                  | 112 |
| Nigeria                 | 107 |
| Canada                  | 103 |
| United States (Chicago) | 74  |
| United States (Seattle) | 98  |
| Mexico                  | 102 |
| Italy north (Padua)     | 97  |
| Italy south (Salerno)   | 81  |
| United Kingdom          | 83  |