

Journal of Gastrointestinal Cancer
Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: IJGC-298

Title: Low rate of bleeding and absence of perforation in metastatic colorectal cancer [mCRC] patients with unresected primary tumor receiving bevacizumab and chemotherapy

Article Type: Original Research

Keywords: Colorectal Neoplasms/drug therapy
Colorectal Neoplasms/surgery
Angiogenesis Inhibitors
Antibodies, Monoclonal
Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor

Low rate of bleeding and absence of perforation in metastatic colorectal cancer [mCRC] patients with unresected primary tumor receiving bevacizumab and chemotherapy

Authors:

celso abdon lopes de mello, MD, PhD¹

thiago bueno de oliveira, MD¹

Tadeu ferreira de paiva jr, MD¹

milton josé de barros e silva, MD¹

marcelo rocha de souza cruz, MD¹

aldo lourenço abbade dettino, MD¹

maria nirvana da cruz formiga, MD¹

ulisses ribaldo nicolau, MD¹

solange moraes sanches, MD¹

julio cesar prestes, MD¹

fabio ferreira de oliveira, MD, PhD²

marcello ferretti fanelli, MD¹

1: Medical Oncologist, Department of Cinical Oncology, Hospital AC Camargo – São Paulo – Brazil; 2: Department of Pelvic Surgery, Hospital AC Camargo.

Academic Adress:

Hospital AC Camargo

Rua Prof Antonio Prudente 211

ZIP 01509-010 – liberdade – São Paulo – SP – Brazil

Phone 55 11 2189 5000 Fax 55 11 21895108

Corresponding author:

Celso Mello

celso.almello@gmail.com

No conflict of interests

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Abstract

Purpose: palliative resection of the primary tumor in asymptomatic patients with mCRC remains controversial. Whereas adding bevacizumab to chemotherapy significantly improved survival rates, it might increase risk of bleeding in patients with non-operated primary tumor. **Objectives:** to retrospectively analyze the safety of upfront bevacizumab and chemotherapy for mCRC patients with unresected primary tumor. **Methods:** medical records were reviewed to identify all mCRC patients admitted between 1/07 and 1/10, with minimally/non-symptomatic and unresected primary tumor, and treated with bevacizumab and chemotherapy. **Results:** eighteen consecutive patients were identified. First-line chemotherapy regimen was oxaliplatin-based in eleven patients and irinotecan-based in seven. Median follow-up was 396 days. Ten patients presented with primary tumor bleeding, none of which had symptom worsening. There were no new cases of gastrointestinal bleeding. Bevacizumab was discontinued in three cases due to persistent grade II proteinuria in one, grade III perianal fistula in other and pelvic abscess requiring surgical intervention in the remainder. **Conclusions:** in selected cases, minimally/non-symptomatic patients with mCRC could be managed with the use of antiangiogenic therapy. Prospective trials are still needed to further investigate the actual role of surgery in this setting.

Key words:

Colorectal Neoplasms/drug therapy

Colorectal Neoplasms/surgery

Angiogenesis Inhibitors

Antibodies, Monoclonal

Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor

Introduction

Excluded non-melanoma skin cancers, colorectal cancer is the fourth most common malignant neoplasm in Brazil. Approximately 27,000 new cases of colorectal cancer are diagnosed every year, for an estimated incidence of 13 new cases/100,000 women and 15 new cases/100,00 men.[1] According to a hospital-based registry, 24% of patients are diagnosed with metastatic disease at the time of first presentation.[2]

In the setting of metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC), surgical resection with or without adjuvant therapy is the standard approach for patients who have potentially curable disease.[3] For patients in whom complete resection is not possible, the main goals of management are maintenance of quality of life and prolongation of survival.[4] The role of palliative resection of primary tumor in relatively asymptomatic patients is debatable,[3] since it can lead to major morbidity and mortality, potentially delaying the benefits of systemic therapy.[5]

Despite recent advances in chemotherapy, that yielded median survival times in the range of 15 months, nearly all patients with mCRC will die from their disease.[6] In the need for more effective and better tolerated therapies, inhibition of vascular endothelial growth factor signaling pathway began to be studied, since this angiogenic factor was shown to be overexpressed in near half of colorectal cancers and its increased expression was associated with more advanced disease and worse prognosis.[7]

In the last few years, phase III trials demonstrated that the combination of chemotherapy and bevacizumab, a recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody against vascular endothelial growth factor, resulted in statistically significant and clinically important improvement in survival among patients with mCRC.[6, 8] As a direct consequence of these data, adding bevacizumab to chemotherapy is now considered a standard first-line approach for stage IV disease, irrespective of the genetic status of the tumor.[9]

1
2
3 However, due to its antiangiogenic properties, bevacizumab was associated with an increased risk of
4
5 hypertension, bleeding and gastrointestinal perforation in early clinical studies.[7, 10] In spite of the
6
7 relatively low incidence of serious treatment-related adverse events observed in late phase III clinical
8
9 trials,[6, 8, 11] the safety of administering bevacizumab to patients with unresected colorectal cancer
10
11 remained to be further studied, since rates of adverse events were not separately reported in this
12
13 subgroup of patients at special risk for bleeding and perforation.
14
15

16
17 Therefore, the aim of our study was to retrospectively analyze the safety and tolerability of upfront
18
19 bevacizumab in combination with chemotherapy for the treatment of mCRC patients with unresected
20
21 primary tumor, in the setting of routine clinical practice.
22
23

24 25 26 **Materials and Methods**

27 28 *Study population*

29
30
31
32 Medical records of patients admitted to the Medical Oncology Department of AC Camargo Hospital
33
34 between January, 2007 and January, 2010 were retrospectively reviewed in order to identify all cases
35
36 who met the following criteria: biopsy-proven colorectal cancer, stage IV disease with synchronous
37
38 distant metastasis, no previous surgical procedure directed to primary tumor or metastases other than
39
40 biopsy and/or endoscopic examinations, minimal or no symptoms related to the primary tumor, upfront
41
42 treatment with bevacizumab and triple-drug chemotherapy, follow-up at our institution.
43
44
45

46 47 48 *Treatment characteristics*

49
50
51
52 Chemotherapy regimens used in combination with bevacizumab consisted of FOLFOX6 [oxaliplatin
53
54 100 mg/m², folinic acid 400 mg/m² and bolus 5 fluorouracil 400 mg/m² on day 1, followed by 48-hour
55
56 infusion of 5 fluorouracil 2400 mg/m², every 2 weeks], FOLFOX 4 (oxaliplatin 85 mg/m² on day 1,
57
58 folinic acid 200 mg/m² on day 1 and 2, bolus 5 fluorouracil 400 mg/m² on day 1, followed by 22-hour
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

infusion of 5 fluorouracil 600 mg/m², every 2 weeks) or FOLFIRI (irinotecan 180 mg/m² on day 1, folinic acid 400 mg/m², bolus 5 fluorouracil 400 mg/m² on day 1 and 2, followed by 48-hour infusion of 5 fluorouracil 2400 mg/m², every 2 weeks). Bevacizumab 5 to 10 mg/Kg was administered over 30- to 90-minute infusion on day 1, every 2 weeks.

Data collection and statistical analysis

Data collection included patients characteristics [age at presentation, sex and race], presence of comorbidities, sites of primary and metastatic tumors at presentation, type and duration of systemic treatment, occurrence of bevacizumab-related adverse events, and vital status at last follow-up. Follow-up was calculated from first chemotherapy to last follow-up. Toxicity was assessed according to the National Cancer Institute's Common Toxicity Criteria version 2.0 [<http://ctep.cancer.gov>]. Continuous variables were summarized by medians. Categorical variables were summarized by counts and percentages. All study procedures were conducted under the approval of Institutional Research Ethics Committee and in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 1983.

Results

Patients' characteristics

Eighteen consecutive patients were identified on the basis of study criteria (10 males and 8 females). Median age at presentation was 55 years (range, 28 to 76 years). Sites of primary tumor were the rectum in twelve patients (66.6%), the left sigmoid colon in four (22.2%), the transverse colon in one (5.6%), and the right sigmoid colon in one (5.6%). All patients had liver metastases, six presented with pulmonary metastases, two had lymph node involvement, and one had peritoneal metastases. Metastatic disease involved one site in eleven patients, two sites in five patients, and three sites in two patient. Seven patients presented with hypertension and eleven with primary tumor bleeding. Table 1 depicts demographic and clinical characteristics in detail.

Systemic treatment

First-line chemotherapy regimen was oxaliplatin-based in ten patients (55.5) and irinotecan-based in 8 (44.5%). Median interval between diagnosis and start of chemotherapy was 27 days (range, 3 to 125 days). Median number of bevacizumab infusions was 14 (range, 3 to 45). Three patients received radiotherapy concomitantly to fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy.

Treatment outcomes

After a median follow-up was 396 days (range, 42 to 980 days), five patients died from mCRC, 12 still are on systemic therapy, and one lost to follow-up after 613 days. Among ten patients with primary tumor bleeding at presentation, symptom ceased after treatment initiation in seven (70.0%) and no episodes of symptom worsening were reported in the three remainder. There were no new cases of gastrointestinal bleeding. Two patients developed grade 1 epistaxis, both of which had gastrointestinal bleeding that stopped after the start of systemic therapy.

During treatment with bevacizumab, hypertension was successfully controlled in all seven patients who initially presented with this comorbidity, none of them requiring modification of antihypertensive treatment. There were no cases of worsening hypertension. One patient developed grade I hypertension after treatment initiation and was successfully managed with ACE inhibitor. Table 2 details selected treatment characteristics and outcomes.

One patient developed persistent grade II proteinuria requiring bevacizumab discontinuation after 45 infusions of the monoclonal antibody. Bevacizumab was also discontinued two other patients, after 3 and 8 infusions, due to pelvic abscess requiring surgical intervention and grade III perianal fistula, respectively.

Discussion

Most patients diagnosed with mCRC are submitted to primary tumor resection, but only a small percentage of them undergo surgery for tumor-related symptoms or as part of a potentially curative approach.[12] Performance status, the existence of comorbid disease, the anatomical location of the primary tumor, and the extent of distant metastases are among the considerations that generally influence the decision to perform primary tumor resection in asymptomatic patients with mCRC.[3, 13] Nevertheless, surgical resection of the primary tumor for patients who present with incurable mCRC still remains controversial.[13] Immediate resection is often indicated in order to prevent complications, which could later result in an urgent surgery frequently associated with higher mortality. On the other hand, since most patients will never develop symptoms, the majority of them could be spared from unnecessary operations, minimizing the risk of delaying or precluding systemic therapy.[14]

Several small retrospective studies found a significant survival advantage in favor of palliative resection of primary tumor in asymptomatic mCRC patients.[3, 15-17] Using the larger Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results database, Cook and co-workers observed that, in all age groups, the 17,658 mCRC patients undergoing primary tumor resection had higher median and 1-year survival rates when compared with the 9,186 patients who did not undergo resection ($p < 0.001$).[13]

However, the possible benefits of primary tumor resection in asymptomatic stage IV colorectal patients were accompanied by a 20.0% to 30.2% postoperative complication rate and a postoperative mortality rate that ranged from 3.4% to 11.2%.[3, 12, 18] In addition, resection of asymptomatic primary tumors has not shown to reduce the incidence of life-threatening tumor-related complications.[12] No significant differences in the incidence of peritonitis, intestinal hemorrhage, fistula formation and bowel obstruction were found among 82 patients who received initial treatment with chemotherapy and without resection of the primary tumor, when compared to 280 patients who had undergone surgery.[4]

1
2
3 It's also important to consider that selection bias and unaccounted clinical factors may explain the
4
5 survival benefit reported in the aforementioned studies.[12] Indeed, retrospective data showed that
6
7 patients with resected disease were more likely to be young,[13] to have a significantly higher
8
9 frequency of right colon cancers,[3, 13] to have metastatic disease restricted to the liver or only one
10
11 other site apart from the primary tumor,[3] and to have fewer than three metastases.[19]
12
13

14 The type of systemic treatment is also likely to have influenced the results favoring surgery in older
15
16 series. As opposed to patients treated with fluoropyrimidine-only conventional chemotherapy,
17
18 researchers from the Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center evaluated 233 consecutive patients
19
20 with unresected primary colorectal cancer and synchronous metastatic disease who received
21
22 oxaliplatin- or irinotecan-based, triple-drug chemotherapy, with or without bevacizumab, between 2000
23
24 and 2006. The vast majority of the patients (93%) never required surgical palliation of their primary
25
26 tumor. Moreover, the use of bevacizumab was not associated with an increased intervention rate.
27
28 These findings seems to support the nonoperative initial management of the asymptomatic primary
29
30 tumor, in those patients with synchronous mCRC and without overt obstruction or hemorrhage.[14]
31
32
33
34

35 With all the limitations inherent to small retrospective series, our study confirmed the results found by
36
37 Poultides and colleagues, demonstrating an extremely low rate of grade 1 bleeding and absence of
38
39 bowel perforation in mCRC patients with unresected primary tumor receiving bevacizumab and triple-
40
41 drug chemotherapy. It's worth to note that our study also included patients with grade I bleeding at
42
43 presentation, which did not translate into an increased risk of serious hemorrhage during
44
45 antiangiogenic therapy, although these results should be interpreted with extremely caution. Both in
46
47 our series, in which rectal cancer patients accounted for 66.6% of the study population and none of
48
49 them required surgical intervention, and in Poultides' study, location of the primary tumor in the
50
51 rectum, as opposed to the colon, was not associated with a higher incidence of urgent surgery.[14]
52
53 Additionally, our study suggested that, in the daily practice, hypertension can be successfully
54
55 managed during bevacizumab treatment, as previously indicated in phase III trials.
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 Although still not using bevacizumab as part of the upfront treatment, Muratore et al. also found that a
4
5 very low rate of complications related to the non-resected colorectal tumor, among 35 patients treated
6
7 with a more modern, oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy (FOLFOX6). Fifteen of them (42.9%) were
8
9 down-staged to surgery, none of which developed complications related to the primary tumor during
10
11 chemotherapy. Of the 20 remainder, only one (2.8%) developed clinical signs of intestinal occlusion
12
13 and required urgent colostomy, 5.6 months from chemotherapy initiation.[20] Furthermore, two other
14
15 retrospective studies have failed to show any survival advantage in favor of immediate surgery for
16
17 patients with asymptomatic mCRC, even though triple-drug chemotherapy and antiangiogenic agents
18
19 were not used in both studies.[18, 19]
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 **Conclusions**

27
28
29 Our data is consistent with the view that upfront, modern, triple-drug chemotherapy, in combination
30
31 with bevacizumab, is a safe approach for patients with unresected primary colorectal cancer and
32
33 synchronous metastases. No major complications related to the primary tumor were observed.
34
35 Although our data comes from a limited number of patients, there is a lack of prospective controlled
36
37 trials in the literature on this subject. Therefore, in selected cases, asymptomatic or minimally
38
39 symptomatic patients with mCRC could be managed without immediate surgery, even with the use of
40
41 antiangiogenic therapy. Prospective trials are still needed to further investigate the actual role of
42
43 primary tumor resection for asymptomatic mCRC patients.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Acknowledgments

References

1. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Secretaria de Atenção à Saúde. Instituto Nacional de Câncer. Coordenação de Prevenção e Vigilância de Câncer. Câncer de cólon e reto. In: Estimativas 2008: Incidência de Câncer no Brasil. Rio de Janeiro: 2007. p. 34. Available at <http://www.inca.gov.br/estimativa/2008/versaofinal.pdf> (access in 11/17/09).
2. Fundação Oncocentro de São Paulo. Boletim do Registro Hospitalar de Câncer (ano 5, número 25, julho de 2005). Available at <http://www.fosp.saude.sp.gov.br/docs/boletins/rhc25.pdf> (access in 11/17/09).
3. Ruo L, Gougoutas C, Paty PB, et al. Elective bowel resection for incurable stage IV colorectal cancer: prognostic variables for asymptomatic patients. J Am Coll Surg 2003;196:722-8.
4. Tebbutt NC, Norman AR, Cunningham D, et al. Intestinal complications after chemotherapy for patients with unresected primary colorectal cancer and synchronous metastases. Gut 2003;52:568-73.
5. Scheer MG, Sloots CE, van der Wilt GJ, Ruers TJ. Management of patients with asymptomatic colorectal cancer and synchronous irresectable metastases. Ann Oncol 2008;19:1829-35.
6. Giantonio BJ, Catalano PJ, Meropol NJ, et al. Bevacizumab in combination with oxaliplatin, fluorouracil, and leucovorin [FOLFOX4] for previously treated metastatic colorectal cancer: results from the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Study E3200. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:1539-44.
7. Fernando NH, Hurwitz HI. Targeted therapy of colorectal cancer: clinical experience with bevacizumab. Oncologist 2004;9:11-8.
8. Hurwitz H, Fehrenbacher L, Novotny W, et al. Bevacizumab plus irinotecan, fluorouracil, and leucovorin for metastatic colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med 2004;350:2335-42.
9. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. Colon Cancer v.3.2009. Available at http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/PDF/colon.pdf (access in 11/16/09).
10. Hurwitz H, Saini S. Bevacizumab in the treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer: safety profile and management of adverse events. Semin Oncol 2006;33:S26-34.

11. Hochster HS, Hart LL, Ramanathan RK, et al. Safety and efficacy of oxaliplatin and fluoropyrimidine regimens with or without bevacizumab as first-line treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer: results of the TREE Study. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26:3523-9.
12. Eisenberger A, Whelan RL, Neugut AI. Survival and symptomatic benefit from palliative primary tumor resection in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer: a review. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2008;23:559-68.
13. Cook AD, Single R, McCahill LE. Surgical resection of primary tumors in patients who present with stage IV colorectal cancer: an analysis of surveillance, epidemiology, and end results data, 1988 to 2000. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2005;12:637-45.
14. Poultides GA, Servais EL, Saltz LB, et al. Outcome of primary tumor in patients with synchronous stage IV colorectal cancer receiving combination chemotherapy without surgery as initial treatment. *J Clin Oncol* 2009;27:3379-84.
15. Beham A, Rentsch M, Pullmann K, et al. Survival benefit in patients after palliative resection vs non-resection colon cancer surgery. *World J Gastroenterol* 2006;12:6634-8.
16. Costi R, Mazzeo A, Di Mauro D, et al. Palliative resection of colorectal cancer: does it prolong survival? *Ann Surg Oncol* 2007;14:2567-76.
17. Konyalian VR, Rosing DK, Haukoos JS, et al. The role of primary tumour resection in patients with stage IV colorectal cancer. *Colorectal Dis* 2007;9:430-7.
18. Scoggins CR, Meszoely IM, Blanke CD, et al. Nonoperative management of primary colorectal cancer in patients with stage IV disease. *Ann Surg Oncol* 1999;6:651-7.
19. Michel P, Roque I, Di Fiore F, et al. Colorectal cancer with non-resectable synchronous metastases: should the primary tumor be resected? *Gastroenterol Clin Biol* 2004;28:434-7.
20. Muratore A, Zorzi D, Bouzari H, et al. Asymptomatic colorectal cancer with un-resectable liver metastases: immediate colorectal resection or up-front systemic chemotherapy? *Ann Surg Oncol* 2007;14:766-70.

Table 1. Baseline patients' characteristics.

Patient	Sex	Age [years]	Primary tumor location	Sites of metastatic disease	Hypertension	Primary tumor bleeding
#1	female	58	transverse colon	Liver and lungs	no	no
#2	female	75	rectum	Liver and lungs	yes	yes
#3	male	53	rectum	Liver	no	yes
#4	male	53	rectum	Liver	no	yes
#5	female	55	rectum	Liver	no	yes
#6	female	70	rectum	Liver and lungs	yes	yes
#7	female	48	left colon	Liver	yes	no
#8	female	55	rectum	Liver	no	yes
#9	male	43	left colon	Liver	no	yes
#10	male	58	rectum	Liver	yes	yes
#11	female	60	left colon	Liver, lungs and peritoneum	yes	no
#12	male	28	rectum	Liver	no	no
#13	male	71	rectum	Liver and lungs	no	yes
#14	male	29	left colon	Liver	no	yes
#15	male	52	right colon	liver, lungs and lymph nodes	no	no
#16	male	61	rectum	Liver	no	yes
#17	male	51	rectum	Liver and lymph nodes	yes	no
#18	female	76	rectum	Liver	yes	no

Table 2. Treatment characteristics and selected safety results.

Patient	CT regimen	Number of bevacizumab infusions	Follow-up [days]	Hypertension [worst grade]*	Bleeding [worst grade]*
#1	FOLFOX6	20	423	0	0
#2	FOLFOX6	4	345	0	1
#3	FOLFIRI	6	535	0	1
#4	FOLFOX4	15	678	0	0
#5	FOLFIRI	10	523	0	1
#6	FOLFOX6	5	288	0	1
#7	FOLFOX6	22	522	0	0
#8	FOLFIRI	15	369	1	1
#9	FOLFOX6	19	613	0	0
#10	FOLFOX6	20	635	0	0
#11	FOLFOX6	45	980	0	0
#12	FOLFIRI	14	637	0	0
#13	FOLFOX6	14	267	0	0
#14	FOLFOX6	9	121	0	0
#15	FOLFOX6	20	321	0	0
#16	FOLFIRI	3	290	0	0
#17	FOLFIRI	8	284	0	0
#18	FOLFIRI	4	42	0	0

*According to the National Cancer Institute's Common Toxicity Criteria version 2.0.

Table 1. Baseline patients’ characteristics.

Patient	Sex	Age [years]	Primary tumor location	Sites of metastatic disease	Hypertension	Primary tumor bleeding
#1	female	58	transverse colon	Liver and lungs	no	no
#2	female	75	rectum	Liver and lungs	yes	yes
#3	male	53	rectum	Liver	no	yes
#4	male	53	rectum	Liver	no	yes
#5	female	55	rectum	Liver	no	yes
#6	female	70	rectum	Liver and lungs	yes	yes
#7	female	48	left colon	Liver	yes	no
#8	female	55	rectum	Liver	no	yes
#9	male	43	left colon	Liver	no	yes
#10	male	58	rectum	Liver	yes	yes
#11	female	60	left colon	Liver, lungs and peritoneum	yes	no
#12	male	28	rectum	Liver	no	no
#13	male	71	rectum	Liver and lungs	no	yes
#14	male	29	left colon	Liver	no	yes
#15	male	52	right colon	liver, lungs and lymph nodes	no	no
#16	male	61	rectum	Liver	no	yes
#17	male	51	rectum	Liver and lymph nodes	yes	no
#18	female	76	rectum	Liver	yes	no

Table 2. Treatment characteristics and selected safety results.

Patient	CT regimen	Number of bevacizumab infusions	Follow-up [days]	Hypertension [worst grade]*	Bleeding [worst grade]*
#1	FOLFOX6	20	423	0	0
#2	FOLFOX6	4	345	0	1
#3	FOLFIRI	6	535	0	1
#4	FOLFOX4	15	678	0	0
#5	FOLFIRI	10	523	0	1
#6	FOLFOX6	5	288	0	1
#7	FOLFOX6	22	522	0	0
#8	FOLFIRI	15	369	1	1
#9	FOLFOX6	19	613	0	0
#10	FOLFOX6	20	635	0	0
#11	FOLFOX6	45	980	0	0
#12	FOLFIRI	14	637	0	0
#13	FOLFOX6	14	267	0	0
#14	FOLFOX6	9	121	0	0
#15	FOLFOX6	20	321	0	0
#16	FOLFIRI	3	290	0	0
#17	FOLFIRI	8	284	0	0
#18	FOLFIRI	4	42	0	0

*According to the National Cancer Institute’s Common Toxicity Criteria version 2.0.

